IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

TUESDAY, THE 12TH JUNE 2007 / 22ND JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 15047 of 2007(N)

PETITIONER:

NALINI, W/O.T.N.GIRI,
AGED 49 YEARS, THATTIL HOUSE, NATTIKA P.O.,
THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.SHOBY K.FRANCIS

RESPONDENTS:

1. REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
   THRISSUR, REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY.

2. SECRETARY, REGIONAL TRANSPORT
   AUTHORITY, THRISSUR.

3. P.K.REGHUNATHAN, PUTHAMADAM HOUSE,
   PERINGANAM P.O., THRISSUR.

BY G.P. SHRI.I.V.PRAMOD.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 12/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

S.SIRI JAGAN, J.

==========

W.P.(C).No.15047 of 2007

==========

Dated this the 12th day of June, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The learned Government Pleader submits that in spite of messages issued to the 2nd respondent, no instructions are forthcoming. In the above circumstance, I am constrained to dispose of this writ petition in the following terms:

Petitioner's contention is that although she had specifically objected to the application for regular permit submitted by the third respondent, while rejecting the claim of the third respondent in Ext.P2 order, petitioner's objections have not been noticed. The grievance of the petitioner is that if the name of the petitioner is not shown as an objector, the petitioner would not be arrayed as a party to the subsequent proceedings, which the third respondent may initiate before the State Appellate Tribunal, which would be a handicap for

the petitioner to resist the claims of the third respondent. Prima facie, I find merit in the contention of the petitioner. However, since the petitioner has filed Ext.P4 representation before the 2nd respondent, I direct the 2nd respondent to consider Ext.P4 and pass appropriate orders thereon positively within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

sdk+ S.SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE